



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/242,525	02/17/1999	SHINICHI SATO	11301-1480	1170

7590

04/23/2002

GEORGE M THOMAS
THOMAS KAYDEN HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY
100 GALLERIA PARKWAY NW
SUITE 1500
ATLANTA, GA 303395948

EXAMINER

SERGEANT, RABON A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1711

15

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

A3

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/242,525	02/17/99	Sato	11301-1480

EXAMINER	
Sergent	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1711	15

DATE MAILED:

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

The reply filed on January 28, 2002 is not fully responsive to the prior Office action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicants were notified, via the letter of July 31, 2001, that applicants had failed to identify the claims readable on the elected species. It is noted that applicants had initially elected species (a-2) as compound (a), within the election of species of May 17, 2001. Furthermore, within the response of January 28, 2002, applicants indicated that claims 46-48 and 59-62 are readable on the elected species. However, specified claims 47 and 60 refer only to nonelected species (a-1). Therefore, given applicants' extensive use of variables, it remains unclear with respect to exactly which claims are readable on the elected species. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Additionally, the following matters have been noted with respect to applicants' claims 59-62. Firstly, the dimethoxysilane compound of the claims lacks support within the specification. Secondly, the specified dimethoxysilane compound appears to be complete as is; it is unclear how it can properly have additional groups attached to it. In other words, it is not clear that the named compound can have additional substituents. The language, "said product (C)" (claims 59 and 62) and "said organic group (I)" and "said hydrolyzable group" (claim 62), lack antecedent basis.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (703) 308-2982.

R. Sergent

April 22, 2002


RABON SERGENT
PRIMARY EXAMINER